IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EAST-SEA CLETRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Libelent,

MISC. NO. 715

Tanker MBACHAM and hor tackle, : apparel, furniture, equipment, etc., in rem. :

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANIAM 18 OPPOLITION TO CLAIMANT SECRETIONS

I.

The Ravigation Laws,

The leading case involving 75%/25% citizenship is that of <u>Dentral Vermont v. Dermins.</u> NAA U.S. 33 (1935). The affidevit annexed as Exhibit A is that covering the MEACHAS and is a 75%/25% affidavit. Such an affidavit has been necessary since the Marchant Marine Act of 1920 for vessels which intended to carry cargo between ports and places in the United States in what is called the constrise trade.

In order to properly appreciate the absence of any authority for the claimant's argument, it is necessary to point out to the court that the decision of the district court, which is mentioned at page 6 of their brief, as having set the question at rest, Steams Romans v.

**Rodman*, 2 %. Supp. 303, was reversed in the Court of Appeals in 61 %. 26 1047. It is also necessary to point out that George Wickersham was much too careful a practitioner to have written an opinion which would provide so large a loophole towards foreign interests running macrican flagships.

A copy of Mr. Wickersham's letter of July 3, 1911, is ennexed. You will appreciate that the Treasury Department has been advised of this position since 1911. The purported opinion of General Counsel Welker is spurious.

Insofer as a statement was made in oral argument that the navigation laws were passed in 1791 and have nothing to do with foreign ownership, the court's attention is directed to the annotations to 46 U.S.O.A. [[11-60]]. The navigation laws have been brought up to date from time to time. The latest revision was as part of the Castoms Asministrative Act of 1735.

II.

Relationship between the Havigation Laws

The Covernment would prefer to discuss the legislative history of these acts more completely to part of its trial brief. However, the following survey should suffice to show that the Government's contention is estitled to a full hearing on the merits.

Up until the Shipping Act of 1916, there was no particular adventage for a foreigner to operate under the American flag. In 1916 the Congress passed a construction subsidy program which in a wartime world gave an American national advantages in the purchase of a vessel. The 1916 act had a definition of corporate citizenship:

no corporation, pertnership, or enuccistion shall be deemed a citizen of the United States unless the controlling interest therein is owned by citizens of the United States, and, in the case of a corporation, unless its president and managing directors are citizens of the United States and the corporation itself is erganized under the laws of the United States or of a State, Territory, Listrict, or possession thereof.

"The provisions of this act shall apply to receivers and trustees of all persons to show the Act applies, and to the successors or sestimess of such persons." 39 Stat. 729

After the United States entered the First World Wer, the Shipping Act of 1916, \$2, was assended. The amendment included a controlling interest provision and also a majority voting power provision. This is the Act of July 15, 1918, \$2, reading as follows:

monded by adding at the one of the first paragraph thereof a paragraph, as follows:

The controlling interest in a corporation shall not be deemed to be owned by attizens of the United States (a) if the title to a sajority of the stock thereof is not vested in such citizens free from any trust or fiduciary obligation in favor of any person not a citizen of the United States; or (b) if the asjority of the voting power in such corporation is not vested in citizens of the United States; or (e) if through any contract or understanding is to so arreaged that the majority of the voting power may be exercised, directly or indirectly, in behalf of any person who is not a citizen of the United States; or (d) if by say other means whatsoever control of the corporation is conferred upon or permitted to be exercised by any person she is not a citizen of the United States. 40 Stat. 900.

The purpose of the 1918 amendment was stated by the Bouse Committee and adopted by the Somete as follows:

shipping act by setting forth more in detail under what diremstances a corporation is desset to be a citizen of the United States within the meaning of the est. Under the present law a corporation, partnership, or association is not a 'citizen' unless the controlling interest therein is owned by citizens of the United States.' This phrase has been elaborated to include every possible device by which foreign interests could obtain control in law or fact over corporations formed under American law." H. Rep. 563, Merchant Harine and Tisheries, 55th Congress, 2d cas... on H.P. 12100. (Photostatic copy annexed to original hereof as Exhibit C.)

This amendment was made as parmament law. Congressment alexander,

who represented the Committee, stated:

"Section 2 of the shipping sor is exended by adding at the end of the first paragraph a provision the purpose of which is to further caleguard our Government and insurthe central of ships being built in American shippards, to prevent foreign interests, under my sort of device or contract or trust agreement, to get control of our shipping." 56 Cong. Acc. 3026

Congressman Greens, who was biso on the Committee, pointed out,

of foreign governments that are engaged against us in ear scald not hesitate to neke come attempt to control our shipbuilding interests, and also, by purchase or other acts, obtain stock in the various vessels that the Chipping Board and private enterprise might construct, such alien enumies high control the management of the venuels or in the event of corporations being formed they might become controlling factors in such corporations. 56

Congression Hadley explained that the previous 1916 act had eaused some difficulty:

"Section 2 of that got provides that--

be deemed a citizen of the United States unless the controlling interest therein is caned by citizens of the United States unless the Controlling interest therein is caned by citizens of the United States, and, is the case of a corporation, unless its president and annuging directors are citizens of the United States and the corporation itself is organized under the laws of the United States or of a State, Territory, Listrict, or possession thereof.

runder the operation of the law it is thought that that language may not be sufficiently restrictive to defeat all possibility of control of American corporations by foreign interests. In order to effect that result the language of section 2 of the bill is employed as an addition or supplement to that portion of section 2 of the existing law just cited.

"The following is the amendatory provision:

not be deemed to be caned by citizens of the United States (a) if the title to a majority of the stock thereof is not vested in such citizens free from any trust or fiduciary obligation in Euror of any person not a citizen of the United Etates; or (b) if the majority of the veting power in much corporation is not vested in citizens of the United States; or (c) if through any contract or understanding is is so arranged that the majority of the voting power may be exercised directly or indirectly, in behalf of any person who is not a citizen of the United States; or (d) if by any other means whatsoever control of the corporation is conferred upon or permitted to be exercised by any person who is not a not a citizen of the United States.

"That is proposed as permanent law." 36 Cong. Rec. 8028

Congressmen London inquired of Congressmen HeGley as follows:

"Er. LONION. I wanted to ask the gentleman from Cashington if the probibition conteined in subdivision (a) of section 2 does not amount in effect to a probibition of the holding of stock by any client. The only way of accuring that a majority of the atock should be vested in citizens of the United States would be to destroy the negotiability of the indiviousl share of atock.

"Mr. HALLEY. The leaguege to which the gentlemen

"Wr. LOHION. That is on page 2, line 20 and following.

"Mr. HADLEY. 'It the title to a rejority of the stock thereof is not vested in such citizens from any trust or fiduciary obligation in favor of any person not a citizen of the United States," in that event the controlling interest would not be contemplated to be owned by a citizen of the United States unless the condition exists.

"Mr. LONION. It seems to se the section accomplishes this, that the entire stock will have to be sened and controlled, because the individual purchaser of stock will not be in a position to know whether his share, along with other shares, will not constitute a majority in the nature of foreign holdings. In not true the effect of it?

"Mr. HALLEY. That is a question of fact that will be determined in the transfers of stock. The point we sook to make in that the controlling interest shall not pass out of the bands of our citizens.

*Mr. LONION. I wa not opposed to it, but it practicelly destroys the negotiability of the individual stock.

"Mr. MARMY. I think when the trensections are frank and open there will be no hesitation on the part of those in control of the corporate books to indicate the situation as to existing transfers, so that a purchaser may understand it as it asy exist." 56 Cong. Rec. 2023

Congressian Sobbins inquired converning the intended operation

of the stock mejority provisions. His inquiry was answered by Congressman Saunders:

"Mr. GAUMLERS, of Virginia. I think the difficulties suggested by the gentlemen from Pennsylvania [Mr. Robbins] will resolve themselves if he will look to that section of the original act to watch this particular section of our bill is an amendment.

"Mr. 1075185. I have that not before me.

"Mr. SAUMIERS of Virginie. If the gentlemen will look at section 2, he will see-

"That within the meaning of this act no corporation, partnership, or ne-ociation shall be deemed a citizen of the United States unless the controlling interest therein is based by citizens of the United States and the corporation itself is organized under the laws of the United States or of a State, Territory, Sistrict, or gossession thereof."

"In that not certain rights are afforded to citizens of the United Status, and this emendent further refines the circumstances under which a corporation, partnership, or association shall not be deemed to be a citizen of the

United States, and if they are not citizens, then they will not be within the benefits of the act. The exendment intends to make it impossible for any arrangement to be effected by which such a corporation, partnership, or association shall be a citizen of the United States, when the real control of some is in the bends of aliens. He have sought to make the language used so everping and comprehensive that no larger, herefor ingenious, would be able to work out any device under this section to keep the latter, while branking the spirit of the law.

Mar. ROBBING. Of course, it operates on the majority holders.

"Mr. Saukies of Virginia. Tea. As for as the minority holders are concerned, we do not concern ourbelves about them. The sujority control must be in the hands of citizens of the United States. We do not care about the cinority scabers.

"Mr. BOBBINS. And it renders the sajority hold-ings non-negotiable.

"Mr. CAUNITIES of Virginia. That may be true." 56 Cong. Res. 8029

Congressant Caunders, Congressant Robbins, and Congressant Stafford had a full discussion showing that they were completely aware of the problem involved and of the way in which Congress intended to correct it:

"Mr. JAUNDES of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, in midition to what I said a moment ago in this connection I desire to may that the mest of this whole matter may be found in the Consisting sentence of this amendment, to wit that 'If by any other means whatever control of the corporation is conferred upon or permitted to be exercised by any person who is not a citizen of the United States,' the controlling interest in a corporation shall not be decard to be paned by witizens of the United States.

"In other words, by the wording of this amendment such a situation is intended to be brought to pass that by no possible legal legardensis can any arrangement be made by which the controlling interest may be in the hands of some other person or persons than citizens of the United States, one at the wass time the corporation continue to be a citizen of the United States. I return think the original set accomplished this result, but there were dertain subtle obggested possibilities under the existing law that deused the occurities to report the amendment under consideration, as a corrective for these possibilities.

"Er. Macara. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

"Mr. SAUMARMS of Virginio. Yes.

"Mr. ALMONDS. I would like to call attention to the fact that in the testimony of the ettorney for the Chipping Spord he called attention to the fact that some law firms in New York City who represented people abroad would buy a ship and all the stockholders would be the members of this lew firm, the stock being held in trust , for foreign clients. As I understand it, this is to get around that arrangement.

"Wr. SAURIERS of Virginia. It accord to the committee that the plan referred to by the gentleman from Pannayl-vania might eircunvent the purpose of the original act. Hance the amendment to afford a remedy for a possible weakness in that act.

"Mr. ROBBING. That is there in this section you are attempting to shend that would make it impossible or to prevent foreign owners from electing a dumpy board of directors also are citizens of the United States and thereby through them control absolutely a shipping corporation, the vessels of which were built and owned by people or interests not of the United States, which ought to be controlled by bona fire citizens of the United States? Would not that give control to foreigners?

"Mr. HAURINES of Virginia. How

"Mr. MBBING. By electing a board of dummy directors who are citizens of the United States while the actual owners would be foreigners. The ought not this provision to go further? I am beartily in favor of this bill, and what I am suggesting it in good faith to endeavor to strengthen it if it is weak. The bill came in here without much chance on my part to investigate it, but I have before me the shipping set of September 7, 1916, which it is proposed to mend. I think this provision ought to go even further than it does and prevent the setting upon a board of directors of any person who is not a citizen of the United States.

"Mr. GAUNDARS of Virginia. I will sak the gentlemen to look to the original language of section 2. As I have stated, this act confers certain rights and privileges upon citizens of the United States. Anyone not a citizen of the United States is denied these rights and privileges.

"Mr. Mills of Maine. Mr. Cheirmen, will the gentleman yield?

"Br. CAUNDERS of Virginia. Yes.

*Mr. VEITE of balse. I was going to suggest, in snewer to the gentlemen from Pennsylvenia (Mr. Robbins), that you would not have dumny directors except through an arrangement between the dumnies and the real parties in interest. Subdivision 6 of section 2 is so drawn as to prevent an arrangement of that sort, it seems to us.

Approved For Release 2004/10/12 : CIA-RDP57-00384R001100100011-9

7.

, =

"Mr. SAUMINES of Virginia. Yes, that is true.

Fir. ECEPING. That, of course, applies only to the majority etock.

*Mr. SAUMDERS of Virginia. The emendment provides that under the circumstances recited the controlling interest in a corporation shall not be deemed to be owned by citizens of the United States.

"If such a scheme as the gentleman from Pennsylvania suggests, was sought to be worked out, it would not only be in frond of the law, but would be imperative, since it would be an arrangement by a contract, or understanding for the majority of the voting power to be exercised in behalf of some one not a citizen of the United States. Under the terms of the emendment, should such a scheme be perfected, the controlling interest in the corporation would be held not to be expect by citizens of the United States. The scheme would instantly fail of its purpose, if sought to be effected on the line indicated in the auggestion of the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

"Mr. MOBBING. I want to be sure about it.

"Mr. SAUMDERS of Virginia. The committee invites constructive criticism of the pending bill. It is not wooded to the language reported, and desires to make the bill as strong and as comprehensive as it may be made.

"Er. STAFFORD. Er. Chairman, I nove to atrice out the last two words. Shortly after the beginning of the European war cortain ships under the register of a neutral government were transferred under a trust arrangement to American citizens so that those ships dould have the protention of the neutral flag of our doverment. The original chipping bill was designed to give the bunefit of American registry to ships owned bone fide by citizens of the United States and not under color by foreign capers. As has been pointed out by the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. Saunders], section 2, as originally framed, did not reach the case where there bus mere colorable title of ownership. The legal title of a sajority interest sight be award by American citizens, and yet there might be trust arrangements shareby the real title would be in foreigners, and the profits accruing from the operation of the ships would go to foreigners.

"The Shipping Board has called attention to the evasion of the real purpose of the law so as to allow Ascricans to have foreign-built ships come within the benefits of American registry and have suggested the assachment that is incorporated here in section 2. This will correct abuses that have been called to the attention of the department and only allow the protection of American registry to those cases where there has been bone file transfer of expension to American citizens of the sajority interests of the company in the control of these foreign-built ships."
56 Cong. Rec. 3032-8033 is directed to the statement of Mr. Edmonds that some New York has firms would buy a ship and all the stockholders would be members of the law firm, the stock being held in trust for foreign clients. The Government intends to prove that the company was set up by a New York has firm in trust for foreigners.

As part of the Hardhant Harine Act of 1920 the stock ownership requirement of the 1918 act was relied from a majority requirement to a 75% requirement. The reason given after the conference by the conference manager, Congressees Jones, for the change is as follows:

Wr. JOHES of Eachington. Hr. President, I took no time is discussing the questions involved in this bill on the adoption of the conference report, which has just been agreed to, because I desire to get it over to the other House as soon as possible, and all these metters were discussed mion the bill was before the Senate. I am going to take only a consut or two nov. Some people, however, on the outside have reised the question as to the sensing of the words *controlling interest, * which are found in the section relating to the osnerable of etock in shipping corporations. The term is used just the sease as it is used in the present shipping act, and I do not think there can be any question about the construction that should be given to it. I know that the committee understood it to mean setual bons fine smartena emperation of a majority of the stock of corporations doing business in the foreign trade and 75 per comin the coestwise trade. I am satisfied that that is the understanding that the Senate has with reference to that language and that provision. The lenguage of the section will not permit a "limmy" corporation or a 'dummy' holding of stock. The corporation must be a bone fide one end the ownership and holding of stock must be born fide American. so Cong. Heo. 8470-8471.

The 1920 act also restricted the constinut trade to 75%/25% corporations, see sections 27, 37, and 38 of the Marchant Marine Act of 1920, 41 Stat.

739-1008. Any person wishing to buy vecsels at reduced prices from the old Shipping Soard under the 1920 act or wishing to operate vecsels in the constrine trade was required to comply with the provisions of section 2, which read as follows:

- mosc. 2. (e) That within the meaning of this Act no corporation, partnership, or association shall be deemed a citizen of the United States unless the controlling interest therein is owned by citizens of the United States, and, in the case of a corporation, unless its president and measing Strectors are citizens of the United States and the corporation itself is organized under the laws of the United States or of a State. Territory, District, or possession thereof, but is the case of a corporation, association, or partnership operating any vessel in the coastains trade the amount of interest required to be owned by citizens of the United States shall be 75 per centure.
- shell not be deemed to be owned by citizens of the United States (a) if the title to a majority of the stock thereof is not vested in such citizens free from any trust or fiduciary obligation in fever of any person not a citizen of the United States; or (b) if the majority of the voting power in such corporation is not vested in citizens of the United States; or (c) if through any contract or understanding it is so arranged that the majority of the voting power may be exercised, directly or indirectly, in behalf of any person who is not a citizen of the United States; or (d) if by any other mesns whatsoever control of the corporation is conferred upon or permitted to be exercised by any person who is not a citizen of the United States.
- *(c) Seventy-five per centum of the interest in a corporation shall not be deemed to be owned by citizens of the United States (a) if the title to 75 per centum of its stock is not vested in such citizens free from any trust or flauciery obligation in favor of any person not a citizen of the United States; or (b) if 75 per centum of the voting power in such corporation is not vested in citizens of the United States; or (c) if, through any contract or understanding it is so arranged that more than 25 per centum of the voting power in such corporation may be exercised, directly or indirectly. in behalf of any person who is not a citizenoof the United States; or (d) if by any other means whatsoever control of any interest in the corporation in excess of 25 per centum is conferred upon or permitted to be exercised by any person who is not a citizen of the United States.
- "(d) The provisions of this Act shall apply to receivers and trustees of all persons to whose the Act applies, and to the successors or assigness of such persons." 41 Stat. 1008

111.

There is No Constitutional Issue Involved.

The same definitions of differentity as made part of the Herchart Merine Act of 1920 were carried over into the Chip Sales Act under which

the Ner Shipping Administration vessels were sold with preference being given to citizen corporations. The claimant represented to the Maritime Commission that it was qualified under the Chipping Act and obtained the preferential treatment given American citizens under that act. They are in no position to complain about a preference being given to American citizens when they tried to take advantage of the preference. Moreover, the Supreme Court in Central Versent v. Durning, 194 U.J. 39 (1935), sustained an even stronger attack upon the Marchant Marine Act of 1920 on behalf of the Canadian Mational Railway which through two subcideries had owned a steamship line on Long Island Sound. This line had actually been owned for sometime before the 1916 act was passed and the result of the 1920 act was not merely to deprive the Central Versent of a speculative profit to be made by using legal loopholes, but to put an existing ateamship company out of business.

The question of constitutional law presented by claiments should be considered by the court only after the syldence is in. The claiment at present has shown no standing to complain of any constitutional violation.

HOLMSE BALDSIDGE Assistent Attorney General.

A. CARTER SHITSHEAD United States Attorney.

Proctors for Libelant.